

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Environment International



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/envint

Trimester-specific associations of maternal exposure to disinfection by-products, oxidative stress, and neonatal neurobehavioral development

Chong Liu^{a,b}, Carmen Messerlian^{c,d}, Ying-Jun Chen^e, Vicente Mustieles^{f,g}, Li-Li Huang^h, Yang Sun^{c,d}, Yan-Ling Deng^{a,b}, Ying-Hui Chengⁱ, Jing Liuⁱ, A-Mei Liuⁱ, Wen-Qing Lu^{a,b,*}, Yi-Xin Wang^{j,*}

^a Key Laboratory of Environment and Health, Ministry of Education & Ministry of Environmental Protection, and State Key Laboratory of Environmental Health

(incubating), School of Public Health, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei, PR China

^b Department of Occupational and Environmental Health, School of Public Health, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan,

Hubei, PR China

- ^d Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA
- ^e Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, PR China
- ^f University of Granada, Center for Biomedical Research (CIBM), Spain
- g Consortium for Biomedical Research in Epidemiology & Public Health (CIBERESP), Madrid, Spain
- ^h School of Public Health, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, PR China
- ⁱ The Maternal and Child Health Care Service Centre of Xiaonan District, Xiaogan City, Hubei, PR China
- ^j Department of Nutrition, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Handling Editor: Shoji Nakayama Background: Toxicological studies suggest that maternal exposure to disinfection by-products (DBPs) can impair fetal neurodevelopment. However, evidence from epidemiological studies is scarce and the underlying mecha-Keywords: nisms remain unclear. Disinfection by-products Objective: To explore the trimester-specific associations between maternal blood trihalomethane (THM) and Trihalomethanes urinary haloacetic acid (HAA) concentrations and neonatal neurobehavioral development, and the potential Oxidative stress mediating role of oxidative stress (OS). Neonatal neurodevelopment Methods: We included 438 pregnant Chinese women from the Xiaogan Disinfection By-Products (XGDBP) birth Haloacetic acid cohort. Biospecimens were repeatedly collected across trimesters and measured for blood THMs, urinary HAAs, and urinary OS biomarker concentrations. On the third day after birth, the Neonatal Behavioral Neurological Assessment (NBNA) test was administered to newborns. Associations of trimester-specific DBP measurements and OS biomarkers with neonatal NBNA scores were assessed using linear regression models with generalized estimating equations. The potential mediating role of maternal OS biomarkers was also investigated using mediation analyses. Results: After adjusting for potential confounders, blood bromodichloromethane (BDCM) concentrations in the first trimester were inversely associated with NBNA scores [percent change comparing the extreme BDCM ter-

Inst transfer were inversely associated with NBNA scores (percent change comparing the extreme BDCM tertiles = -28.1% (95% CI: -55.2%, -0.88%); *p* for trend = 0.043]. Besides, third-trimester urinary trichloroacetic acid (TCAA) concentrations were inversely associated with NBNA scores [percent change comparing the extreme TCAA tertiles = -32.9% (95% CI: -64.7%, -1.0%); *p* for trend = 0.046]. These inverse associations differed across pregnancy trimesters (Type 3 *p*-value = 0.066 and 0.053, respectively) and were stronger in male infants and mothers aged \geq 25 years. There was no evidence of mediating effect by 8-hydroxy-2-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG), 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal-mercapturic acid (HNE-MA), or 8-*iso*-prostaglandin F_{2α} (8-*iso*PGF_{2α}).

E-mail addresses: luwq@mails.tjmu.edu.cn (W.-Q. Lu), yixinwang@hsph.harvard.edu (Y.-X. Wang).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2021.106838

Received 20 April 2021; Received in revised form 13 August 2021; Accepted 15 August 2021 Available online 25 August 2021

0160-4120/© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

^c Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA

^{*} Corresponding authors at: Key Laboratory of Environment and Health, Ministry of Education & Ministry of Environmental Protection, and State Key Laboratory of Environmental Health (incubating), School of Public Health, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei, PR China (W.-Q. Lu). Department of Nutrition, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA (Y.-X. Wang).

Conclusions: Higher prenatal BDCM and TCAA concentrations during specific pregnancy trimesters were associated with lower NBNA scores. However, additional research is required to investigate underlying mechanisms.

1. Introduction

Neurodevelopmental disabilities such as attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, conduct problems, sensorimotor impairments, autism spectrum disorders, intellectual disability, and learning disorders constitute a major socioeconomic burden (Bennett et al., 2016; Lyons-Ruth et al., 2017), which affect about 52.9 million (8.4%) children worldwide (Global Research on Developmental Disabilities Collaborators, 2018). The intrauterine environment plays a critical role in early neural processes because the fetal brain develops dramatically as structures and connections form, providing the foundation for all future development (Bellanger et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2018). Besides welldocumented risk factors for fetal neurodevelopment (e.g., folate deficiency, thyroid dysfunction, and preterm birth) (Cheong et al., 2017; Korevaar et al., 2016; Naninck et al., 2019), accumulating evidence indicates that prenatal exposure to environmental pollutants is associated with neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders (Grandjean and Landrigan, 2014; Nie et al., 2019; Shah-Kulkarni et al., 2020; van den Dries et al., 2020).

Disinfection by-products (DBPs) are generated during the reaction between chlorine disinfectants and natural organic matters during the water treatment process. Among various identified DBPs in drinking water, trihalomethanes (THMs) and haloacetic acids (HAAs) are the two leading species (Richardson et al., 2007). Pregnant women are exposed to DBPs through ingestion of water or inhalation and absorption during daily water-use activities including showering and bathing (Wang et al., 2019b). Toxicological studies have shown that some DBPs can pass through the placenta (Christian et al., 2001; Danielsson et al., 1986), which can further impair fetal neurodevelopment. For instance, HAAs, including dichloroacetic acid (DCAA) and dibromoacetic acid, were found to cause limb weakness, gait and righting reflex deficits, hypotonia, and sensorimotor depression in rats (Moser et al., 1999; Moser et al., 2004). Guariglia and colleagues reported that a mixture of chloroform (TCM), bromoform (TBM), and tetrachloroethylene can induce autistic-like behaviors in male mice (Guariglia et al., 2011). Several human studies also showed that prenatal exposures to THMs and HAAs were associated with elevated risks of neural tube defects and impaired cognitive function in offspring (Bove et al., 2002; Chowdhury et al., 2010; Klotz and Pyrch, 1999; Villanueva et al., 2018). We recently assessed neonatal neurobehavioral development among 451 infants and found an inverse association between first-trimester blood bromodichloromethane (BDCM) concentrations and total Neonatal Behavioral Neurological Assessment (NBNA) scores (Chen et al., 2019). However, the critical windows of vulnerability during pregnancy remain unclear, which could facilitate the study of modes of action and the establishment of more stringent regulatory guidelines to protect the fetus. Besides, no human study has assessed trimester-specific associations between nonvolatile HAAs, another leading species of DBPs, and neonatal neurodevelopment. More importantly, the mechanisms underlying the associations between maternal DBP exposures and fetal neurodevelopment are also poorly understood.

Growing evidence shows that oxidative stress (OS) is associated with the development of neurodevelopmental disorders and neurodegenerative diseases (Bjorklund et al., 2020; Kabir et al., 2020; Lin and Beal, 2006; Steullet et al., 2017), by potentially disrupting thyroid hormone homeostasis and the metabolism of micronutrients (e.g., folate and fatty acid) (Assies et al., 2014; Mancini et al., 2016). Meanwhile, both *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies have demonstrated that THMs and HAAs can induce OS response and impair antioxidant defense (Beddowes et al., 2003; Hassoun and Cearfoss, 2014; Hassoun et al., 2010). In a previous analysis, we found a positive association between higher DBP concentrations and OS biomarkers among 1760 pregnant women (Liu et al., 2020). To refine and extend our previous work (Chen et al., 2019), we aimed to investigate the trimester-specific associations between maternal blood THM and urinary HAA concentrations and neonatal neurobehavior development and to explore the potential mediating role of OS biomarkers.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study population

Our study population came from the Xiaogan Disinfection By-Products (XGDBP) birth cohort, which has been described in detail previously (Sun et al., 2020). Xiaogan City is located in Hubei Province, China, which is served by a single water treatment plant. The arithmetic mean concentrations of chloroform (TCM), total trichloromethane (TTHMs), trichloroacetic acid (TCAA), and dichloroacetic acid (DCAA) in the tap water of Xiaogan City were 4.3, 7.4, 10.1, and 3.5 µg/L, respectively (Wang et al., 2019b). We recruited 1760 women at the Maternal and Child Health Care Service Center of Xiaonan District if they were aged between 18 and 40 years, were <14 weeks of gestation, had a singleton pregnancy, had no self-reported psychiatric or laboratory-confirmed endocrine diseases (e.g., diabetes and thyroid diseases), and permanently lived in Xiaogan City. All participants completed a questionnaire, had venous blood drawn, provided a single urine sample, and underwent physical examination during the first (gestational age <14 weeks), second (gestational age 14–27 weeks), and third trimester (gestational age >27 weeks). All NBNA tests were conducted on the third day after birth only for infants who were delivered at the Maternal and Child Health Care Service Center of Xiaonan District (n = 569). The pregnant women who delivered their babies in other hospitals (e.g., Xiaogan Central Hospital, Xiaogan First People Hospital, and Hangtian Hospital) were excluded (n = 1191) because no certificated pediatricians were available for the NBNA test (Chen et al., 2019). We further excluded 19 mother-neonate pairs due to serious comorbid conditions (e.g., meningitis, cleft palate, Down's syndrome, and severe neonatal jaundice), 99 due to refusal to undergo the NBNA testing, 5 due to insufficient specimen volumes for the determination of urinary HAAs and OS biomarkers, 1 due to missing gestational age at delivery, and 7 due to preterm birth, leaving 438 pairs in the current analysis (Fig. 1). The characteristics of mother-infant pairs included in the current analysis were mostly similar to that of the whole population, except for age and education levels. Compared with the total study population, the women included in the current analysis were slightly younger (mean \pm SD: 25.9 \pm 3.9 vs. 26.4 \pm 4.2 years) and had lower education levels (70.5% vs. 62.8% reporting education levels as primary and below) (Table S1). Our study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tongji Medical College, and all participants provided written informed consent at recruitment.

2.2. Covariates

At enrollment, the research staff collected the basic characteristics of study participants, including age, ethnicity, marital status, occupation, height, household income, education background, and medical history. At enrollment and during follow-up visits, all pregnant women provided information on lifestyle factors (e.g., alcohol and tobacco consumption), second-hand smoke exposure, diet, and water-use activities (e.g., water sources, tap water consumption per day, boiled and filtered water usage, frequency of swimming, washing, bathing, and showering, and time interval since the last bathing/showering). The research staff recorded the time when specimens were collected. Maternal weight during each visit was measured using multifunctional anthropometric instruments. We calculated the maternal body mass index (BMI) by dividing the weight (kg) by the square of height (m).

2.3. Specimen collection and analysis

Sample collection and quantification procedures have been previously described in detail elsewhere (Wang et al., 2019b). In short, venous blood samples across pregnancy trimesters were drawn in anticoagulant blood collection tubes and stored at 4 °C until THM analysis. Blood TCM, BDCM, dibromochloromethane (DBCM), and bromoform (TBM) were determined using a headspace solid-phase microextraction gas chromatography equipped with an electron capture detector (ECD) (Bonin et al., 2005; Cao et al., 2016). Spot urine samples across pregnancy trimesters were collected using polypropylene containers. Urinary TCAA and DCAA were purified with liquid-liquid extractions and then quantified by gas chromatography equipped with an ECD (Wang et al., 2014). Urinary OS biomarkers, namely 8-hydroxy-2-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG), 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal-mercapturic acid (HNE-MA), and 8-iso-prostaglandin $F_{2\alpha}$ (8-isoPGF_{2 α}), were determined using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (Wang et al., 2019c). Information on pretreatment, instrumental analysis, and quality control was presented in Supplemental Material. We determined urinary creatinine (Cr) concentrations using an automated clinical chemistry analyzer (Mindray Medical International Ltd., Shenzhen, China) (Liu et al., 2020).

2.4. Birth outcomes and NBNA test

Neonatal birth data such as birth weight, infant sex, delivery mode, and gestational age were abstracted from the hospital medical system by

research staff. On the third day after birth, the Chinese-adapted version of the NBNA test was administered to neonates as formulated by Bao and colleagues (Bao et al., 1991). This neurobehavioral test is based on the method of Brazelton and Amiel-Tison, which has been demonstrated to be highly reliable in the Chinese population (Bao et al., 1993; Wang et al., 2018, 2019a). The test consists of five clusters, namely behavior (6 items), passive tone (4 items), active tone (4 items), primary reflexes (3 items), and general assessment (3 items). Each item is rated on a threepoint Likert-type scale (0, 1, or 2). The total NBNA score is the sum score of these five clusters. The maximum attainable scores of behavior, passive tone, active tone, primary reflexes, general assessment, and total NBNA score are 12, 8, 8, 6, 6, and 40 points, respectively. Infants with NBNA score <37 were considered to be a-typically developing and at risk (Yu et al., 2014). In our study, the NBNA test was performed by two certificated pediatricians who were blinded to maternal DBP exposure and OS status and birth outcomes (Chen et al., 2019).

2.5. Statistical analysis

We performed descriptive analysis for maternal baseline characteristics, DBP and OS biomarker concentrations, neonatal birth outcomes, and NBNA scores. We used the Student *t*-test or Chi-square test to examine the differences in basic characteristics of our study population and the overall cohort volunteers. Brominated THMs (Br-THMs, ng/L) were the sum concentrations of BDCM, DBCM, and TBM; and total THMs (TTHMs, ng/L) were the sum concentrations of Br-THMs and TCM. Samples with concentrations of DBP and OS biomarkers lower than the LODs and LOQs were replaced by $\text{LOD}/\sqrt{2}$ and $\text{LOQ}/\sqrt{2}$, respectively (Hornung and Reed, 1990). To account for urine dilution, we divided urinary HAA and OS biomarker concentrations (μ g/L) by creatinine concentrations (g/L). Urinary TCAA, DCAA, 8-OHdG, 8-isoPGF_{2α}, and HNE-MA and blood TCM, BDCM, Br-THMs, and TTHMs were categorized into tertiles based on all measurements across pregnancy trimesters. Because of the limited detection rates for blood DBCM and TBM



Fig. 1. Flow chart for the study population.

(\leq 45.1%), we created a three-level ordinal variable using <60th, 60th–80th, and >80th percentiles (Sun et al., 2020).

We explored trimester-specific associations of maternal blood THM and urinary HAA concentrations and OS biomarkers with neonatal NBNA scores using multiple informant models with generalized estimating equations (Sanchez et al., 2011). This model retains the interpretation of a set of separate multiple regressions for each trimester and also tests the differences in associations across pregnancy trimesters by jointly estimating the regression models using Type 3 tests based on the number of the observations across different exposure windows (Sanchez et al., 2011), which allows the number of measurement occasions for the exposure to vary across participants. Given the relatively low power of interaction tests (Greenland et al., 2016; Kaufman and Maclehose, 2013), a Type 3 *p*-value <0.10 was considered as an indication that associations differed across pregnancy trimesters. We used the lowest tertiles (or categories) as the reference group, and p for trends were calculated by modeling the tertiles (or categories) of DBP and OS biomarkers as ordinal variables using integer values (0, 1, 2). Because of the potential effect modification by infant sex and maternal age on the association between environmental pollutants and neonatal neurobehavioral development (Guo et al., 2020; Hyland et al., 2019; Moreno-Gimenez et al., 2021), we performed the stratified analyses by maternal age (<25 vs. \geq 25 years of age) and infant sex (female vs. male). The *p*values for interaction were calculated using the Wald test (Kaufman and Maclehose, 2013).

The potential covariates were initially selected based on biological and statistical considerations and then included in the final models if they resulted in a >10% change in the effect estimates for the aforementioned associations (Greenland, 1989). The final models were adjusted for maternal age (continuous), BMI at enrollment (continuous), smoking status (never vs. ever), alcohol intake (never vs. ever), folic acid usage during pregnancy (yes vs. no), delivery mode (caesarean vs. eutocia), infant sex (female vs. male), and education background (primary school and below, high school, or college and above).

We explored the potential mediation effect of OS biomarkers on the associations between DBP concentrations and neonatal NBNA scores using the "*mediation*" package in R software (Dustin et al., 2014). The mediation effects are established based on the following assumptions: a) significant relationships between an exposure and outcomes; b) significant relationships between exposures and the mediators, and c) significant relationships between the mediators and health outcomes (Valeri and Vanderweele, 2013).

We also performed several sensitivity analyses to test the robustness of our results. Firstly, we further adjusted for the gestational week at delivery in the association analyses. Secondly, we reanalyzed the abovementioned associations after excluding infants with low birth weight (i. e., <2500 g, n = 2) or small-for-gestational-age (i.e., gender-specific birth weight <10th percentile for gestational age in a representative Chinese referent population, n = 11) (Chen and Jin, 2011; Sun et al., 2020). Finally, to assess the influence of recent peak exposure events such as bathing and showering (Ashley et al., 2020; Nuckols et al., 2005), we additionally included the time interval since last showering/ bathing as a covariate in regression models. All statistical analyses were conducted using Statistical Analysis Software (SAS, version 9.4, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) and R software (version 3.1.2, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Austria).

3. Results

3.1. Information about mother-infant pairs

The characteristics of mother-infant pairs in the current analysis are presented in Table 1. More than half of our participants were \geq 25 years old (56.6%), had a normal range of BMI (18.5–25 kg/m²) at enrollment (68.8%), attained primary education or lower (70.5%), and used folic acid supplementation during pregnancy (92.9%). Regarding their

Table 1

Characteristics of mother-infant pairs (N = 438).^a

Mothers Age (years) 190 (43.4) ≥ 25 190 (43.4) ≥ 25 248 (56.6) BMI at enrollment (kg/m ²) 1 <18.5 95 (21.9) 18.5-25 298 (68.8) ≥ 25 298 (66.8) >25 298 (67.5) Gravidity 1 1 216 (49.3) >1 216 (49.3) Score 308 (70.5) High school 77 (17.6) College and above 52 (11.9) Income (Yuan/month) - <3000 190 (43.6) 3000-4999 191 (43.8) ≥ 5000 55 (12.6) Smoking status - Ever 40.9) Never 434 (99.1) Alcohol use - Ever 18 (4.1) Never 306 (70.2) Folic acid usage during pregnancy - Yes 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants -	Characteristics	N (%)
Age (years) 190 (43.4) ≥25 190 (43.4) ≥25 248 (56.6) BMI at enrollment (kg/m ²) 1 <18.5	Mothers	
≤25 190 (43.4) ≥25 248 (56.6) BMI at enrollment (kg/m ²) <18.5 95 (21.9) 18.5-25 298 (68.8) >25 40 (9.3) Gravidity 1 216 (49.3) >1 216 (49.3) >1 222 (50.7) Education level Primary school and below 308 (70.5) High school 77 (17.6) College and above 52 (11.9) Income (Yuan/month) < 3000 < 900 (43.6) 3000-4999 191 (43.8) ≥5000 55 (12.6) Smoking status Ever 4 (0.9) Never 434 (99.1) Alcohol use Ever 18 (4.1) Never 434 (99.1) Alcohol use Ever 18 (4.1) Never 420 (95.9) Second-hand smoke exposure Ever 18 (4.1) Never 306 (70.2) Folic acid usage during pregnancy Yes 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants Sex Male 231 (52.8) Female 207 (47.2) Delivery mode Caesarean 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) < 3000 3000-3999 334 (76.3) ≥4000 156 (35.6) >40 118 (26.9) Small for gestational age Yes 11 (2.5%) No 477 (07.5%)	Age (years)	
≥25 248 (56.6) 248 (56.6) MI at enrollment (kg/m2) <18.5 25 95 (21.9) 18.5-25 298 (68.8) >25 40 (9.3) Gravidity 1 216 (49.3) >1 216 (49.3) >1 222 (50.7) Education level 92 22 (50.7) Education level 77 (7.6) College and above 52 (11.9) Income (Yuan/month) 77 (7.6) College and above 52 (11.9) Income (Yuan/month) 70 (43.6) 3000-4999 191 (43.8) ≥5000 55 (12.6) Smoking status 78 40 (9.1) Never 434 (99.1) Alcohol use 79 404 (99.1) Never 434 (99.1) Alcohol use 79 40 (95.9) Second-hand smoke exposure 80 (95.9) Second-hand smoke exposure 80 (95.9) Second-hand smoke exposure 80 (70.2) Folic acid usage during pregnancy 70 (70.2) No 31 (7.1) Infants 70 (29.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants 82 (207 (47.2) Delivery mode 207 (47.	<25	190 (43.4)
BMI at enrollment (kg/m²) <18.5	≥25	248 (56.6)
< 18.5 95 (21.9) $18.5-25$ 298 (68.8) >25 40 (9.3) Gravidity 1 1 216 (49.3) >1 222 (50.7) Education level 222 (50.7) Education level 95 (21.9) Primary school and below 308 (70.5) High school 77 (17.6) College and above 52 (11.9) Income (Yuan/month) - < 3000 190 (43.6) $3000-4999$ 191 (43.8) ≥ 5000 55 (12.6) Smoking status - Ever 434 (99.1) Alcohol use - Ever 18 (4.1) Never 306 (70.2) Folic acid usage during pregnancy - Yes 130 (29.8) Never 306 (70.2) Folic acid usage during pregnancy - Yes 407 (92.9) No 31 (71.1) Infants - Sex - Male 231 (52.8) Female 207 (47.	BMI at enrollment (kg/m ²)	
18.5–25 298 (68.8) >25 40 (9.3) Gravidity 1 1 216 (49.3) >1 222 (50.7) Education level 97 Primary school and below 308 (70.5) High school 77 (17.6) College and above 52 (11.9) Income (Yuan/month) 190 (43.6) 3000–4999 191 (43.8) ≥5000 55 (12.6) Smoking status 1 Ever 4 (0.9) Never 420 (95.9) Second-hand smoke exposure 1 Ever 18 (4.1) Never 306 (70.2) Folic acid usage during pregnancy 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants 207 (47.2) Delivery mode 207 (47.2) Caesarean 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) 304 (7.7) Gestational age at delivery (weeks) 34 (7.7) Gestational age at delivery (weeks) 34 (7.7) Gestational age at delivery (weeks) 156 (35.6) <	<18.5	95 (21.9)
>25 40 (9.3) Gravidity 1 216 (49.3) >1 225 (50.7) Education level Primary school and below 308 (70.5) High school 77 (17.6) College and above 52 (11.9) Income (Yuan/month) <3000 190 (43.6) 3000-4999 191 (43.8) ≥5000 55 (12.6) Smoking status Ever 4 (0.9) Never 434 (99.1) Alcohol use Ever 18 (4.1) Never 434 (99.1) Alcohol use Ever 18 (4.1) Never 420 (95.9) Second-hand smoke exposure Ever 130 (29.8) Never 300 (70.2) Folic acid usage during pregnancy Yes 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants Sex Male 231 (52.8) Female 201 (53.6) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) <3000 300(-3999) 334 (76.3) ≥4000 164 (37.4) Sex 	18.5–25	298 (68.8)
Gravidity 216 (49.3) 1 212 (50.7) Education level 222 (50.7) Primary school and below 308 (70.5) High school 77 (17.6) College and above 52 (11.9) Income (Yuan/month) - <3000	>25	40 (9.3)
1 216 (49.3) >1 222 (50.7) Education level 222 (50.7) Primary school and below 308 (70.5) High school 77 (17.6) College and above 52 (11.9) Income (Yuan/month) 52 (11.9) <3000	Gravidity	
>1 222 (50.7) Education level Primary school and below High school College and above 77 (17.6) College and above 77 (17.6) 90 (43.6) 3000-4999 91 (43.8) ≥ 5000 50 (12.6) Smoking status Ever 4 (0.9) Never 4 (0.9) Never 4 34 (99.1) Alcohol use Ever 18 (4.1) Never 4 34 (99.1) Alcohol use Ever 130 (29.8) Never 306 (70.2) Folic acid usage during pregnancy Yes 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants Sex Male 231 (52.8) Female 207 (47.2) Delivery mode Caesarean 287 (65.5) Eutocia 10 (24.8) 5000 70 (16.0) 3000-3999 334 (76.3) ≥ 4000 70 (16.0) 3000-3999 334 (76.3) ≥ 4000 407 (92.9) 156 (35.6) >40 118 (26.9) Small for gestational age Yes 11 (2.5%) No 477 (07 5%)	1	216 (49.3)
Education level308 (70.5)High school77 (17.6)College and above52 (11.9)Income (Yuan/month) <3000	>1	222 (50.7)
Primary school and below 308 (70.5) High school 77 (17.6) College and above 52 (11.9) Income (Yuan/month) - <3000	Education level	
High school 77 (17.6) College and above 52 (11.9) Income (Yuan/month) 52 (11.9) < 3000 190 (43.6) $3000-4999$ 191 (43.8) ≥ 5000 55 (12.6) Smoking status $=$ Ever 4 (0.9) Never 434 (99.1) Alcohol use $=$ Ever 18 (4.1) Never 420 (95.9) Second-hand smoke exposure $=$ Ever 130 (29.8) Never 306 (70.2) Folic acid usage during pregnancy 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants $=$ Sex 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants $=$ Sex 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants $=$ Sex $=$ Male 231 (52.8) Female 207 (47.2) Delivery mode $=$ Caesarean 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5)	Primary school and below	308 (70.5)
College and above 52 (11.9) Income (Yuan/month) $52 (11.9)$ < 3000 190 (43.6) $3000-4999$ 191 (43.8) ≥ 5000 55 (12.6) Smoking status U $Ever$ 4 (0.9) Never 434 (99.1) Alcohol use U $Ever$ 18 (4.1) Never 420 (95.9) Second-hand smoke exposure U $Ever$ 130 (29.8) Never 306 (70.2) Folic acid usage during pregnancy V Yes 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants Z Sex $Male$ Male 231 (52.8) Female 207 (47.2) Delivery mode Z Caesarean 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) $334 (76.3)$ < 3000 34 (7.7) Gestational age at delivery (weeks) $34 (7.7)$ Gestational age at delivery (weeks) $(56 (35.6)$ > 40 118 (26.	High school	77 (17.6)
Income (Yuan/month) Import (Yuan/month) <3000	College and above	52 (11.9)
<3000 190 (43.6) $3000-4999$ 191 (43.8) ≥ 5000 55 (12.6) Smoking status $ Ever 4 (0.9) Never 434 (99.1) Alcohol use Ever 18 (4.1) Never 420 (95.9) Second-hand smoke exposure Ever 130 (29.8) Never 306 (70.2) Folic acid usage during pregnancy Yes 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants Sex Male 231 (52.8) Female 207 (47.2) Delivery mode Caesarean 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) <3000 70 (16.0) 3000-3999 334 (76.3) ≥4000 34 (7.7) Gestational age at delivery (weeks) <39 <39 164 (37.4) 39-40 156 (35.6) >40 118 (26.9) $	Income (Yuan/month)	()
3000-4999 191 (43.8) ≥5000 55 (12.6) Smoking status	<3000	190 (43.6)
≥ 5000 55 (12.6) Smoking status 4 (0.9) Ever 4 34 (99.1) Alcohol use 2 Ever 18 (4.1) Never 420 (95.9) Second-hand smoke exposure 2 Ever 130 (29.8) Never 306 (70.2) Folic acid usage during pregnancy 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants 231 (52.8) Female 207 (47.2) Delivery mode 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) 334 (76.3) < 3000 70 (16.0) 3000-3999 334 (76.3) ≥ 4000 34 (7.7) Gestational age at delivery (weeks) (35.6) < 39 164 (37.4) $39-40$ 156 (35.6) >40 118 (26.9) Small for gestational age 11 (2.5%) No 427 (97 56)	3000–4999	191 (43.8)
Smoking status Ever 4 (0.9) Never 434 (99.1) Alcohol use 18 (4.1) Ever 18 (4.1) Never 420 (95.9) Second-hand smoke exposure 130 (29.8) Ever 130 (29.8) Never 306 (70.2) Folic acid usage during pregnancy 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants 231 (52.8) Female 207 (47.2) Delivery mode 287 (65.5) Caesarean 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) 334 (76.3) <3000	>5000	55 (12.6)
Ever 4 (0.9) Never 434 (99.1) Alcohol use 400 (95.9) Ever 18 (4.1) Never 420 (95.9) Second-hand smoke exposure 407 (92.9) Sever 306 (70.2) Folic acid usage during pregnancy 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants 231 (52.8) Sex 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants 231 (52.8) Female 207 (47.2) Delivery mode 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) 334 (76.3) ≤ 3000 70 (16.0) 3000-3999 334 (76.3) ≥ 4000 34 (7.7) Gestational age at delivery (weeks) <39 < 39 164 (37.4) $39-40$ 156 (35.6) >40 118 (26.9) Small for gestational age Yes Yes 11 (2.5%) No 427 (97 5%)	Smoking status	00 (1210)
Never 434 (99.1) Alcohol use 434 (99.1) Ever 18 (4.1) Never 420 (95.9) Second-hand smoke exposure 420 (95.9) Ever 130 (29.8) Never 306 (70.2) Folic acid usage during pregnancy 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants 231 (52.8) Sex 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants 231 (52.8) Female 207 (47.2) Delivery mode 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) <3000 70 (16.0) < 3000 70 (16.0) 3000-3999 > 4000 34 (76.3) >4000 < 39 164 (37.4) $39-40$ > 40 118 (26.9) Small for gestational age Yes 11 (2.5%) No	Fver	4 (0.9)
Alcohol use 18 (4.1) Ever 18 (4.1) Never 420 (95.9) Second-hand smoke exposure 200 Ever 130 (29.8) Never 306 (70.2) Folic acid usage during pregnancy 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants 231 (52.8) Female 207 (47.2) Delivery mode 207 (47.2) Caesarean 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) 334 (76.3) < 3000 70 (16.0) $3000-3999$ 334 (76.3) ≥ 4000 34 (77.7) Gestational age at delivery (weeks) <39 < 39 164 (37.4) $39-40$ 156 (35.6) >40 118 (26.9) Small for gestational age Yes Yes 11 (2.5%) No 427 (97 5%)	Never	434 (99 1)
Ever 18 (4.1) Never 420 (95.9) Second-hand smoke exposure 130 (29.8) Ever 130 (29.8) Never 306 (70.2) Folic acid usage during pregnancy 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants 231 (52.8) Female 207 (47.2) Delivery mode 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) 3000–3999 <3000	Alcohol use	404 (79.1)
Never $10(11)$ Never 420 (95.9) Second-hand smoke exposure 130 (29.8) Never 306 (70.2) Folic acid usage during pregnancy 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants 827 Sex 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants 231 (52.8) Female 207 (47.2) Delivery mode 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) 334 (76.3) <3000 70 (16.0) $3000-3999$ 334 (76.3) ≥ 4000 34 (7.7) Gestational age at delivery (weeks) $(39 - 40)$ <39 164 (37.4) $39 - 40$ 156 (35.6) >40 118 (26.9) Small for gestational age Yes Yes $11 (2.5\%)$ No 427 (97 564)	Fuer	18 (4 1)
Second-hand smoke exposure 420 (90.9) Second-hand smoke exposure 130 (29.8) Never 306 (70.2) Folic acid usage during pregnancy 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants 231 (52.8) Sex 207 (47.2) Delivery mode 207 (47.2) Delivery mode 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) 324 (76.3) \leq 3000 70 (16.0) 3000–3999 334 (76.3) \geq 4000 164 (37.4) 39–40 156 (35.6) $>$ 40 118 (26.9) Small for gestational age 11 (2.5%) No 427 (97 564)	Never	420 (95.9)
Ever 130 (29.8) Never 306 (70.2) Folic acid usage during pregnancy 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants 231 (52.8) Sex 231 (52.8) Male 231 (52.8) Female 207 (47.2) Delivery mode 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) 334 (76.3) \leq 4000 70 (16.0) 3000–3999 334 (76.3) \geq 4000 164 (37.4) 39–40 156 (35.6) >40 118 (26.9) Small for gestational age 11 (2.5%) No 427 (97 564)	Second hand smoke exposure	420 (93.9)
Never 306 (22.3) Never 306 (70.2) Folic acid usage during pregnancy 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants 231 (52.8) Sex 207 (47.2) Delivery mode 207 (47.2) Caesarean 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) 3000 - 3999 ≤4000 70 (16.0) 3000-3999 334 (76.3) ≥4000 34 (7.7) Gestational age at delivery (weeks) <39	Fuer	130 (20.8)
Folic acid usage during pregnancy 300 (70.2) Folic acid usage during pregnancy 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants 231 (52.8) Sex 207 (47.2) Delivery mode 207 (47.2) Caesarean 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) 70 (16.0) $< 3000 - 3999$ 334 (76.3) ≥ 4000 70 (16.0) 3000-3999 334 (76.3) ≥ 4000 34 (7.7) Gestational age at delivery (weeks) (4 (37.4)) < 39 164 (37.4) $39-40$ 156 (35.6) >40 118 (26.9) Small for gestational age 11 (2.5%) No 427 (97 564)	Never	206 (70.2)
Yes 407 (92.9) No 31 (7.1) Infants 231 (52.8) Sex 207 (47.2) Delivery mode 207 (47.2) Caesarean 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) 70 (16.0) $< 3000 - 3999$ 334 (76.3) ≥ 4000 34 (7.7) Gestational age at delivery (weeks) (39.40) < 39 164 (37.4) $39 - 40$ 156 (35.6) >40 118 (26.9) Small for gestational age 11 (2.5%) No 427 (97 564)	Folia acid usaga during prognangu	300 (70.2)
Ics $407 (92.9)$ No $31 (7.1)$ Infants $827 (92.9)$ Sex $231 (52.8)$ Male $231 (52.8)$ Female $207 (47.2)$ Delivery mode $287 (65.5)$ Caesarean $287 (65.5)$ Eutocia $151 (34.5)$ Birth weight (g) $3000 - 3999$ < 3000 $70 (16.0)$ $3000 - 3999$ $334 (76.3)$ ≥ 4000 $34 (7.7)$ Gestational age at delivery (weeks) $(39 - 40)$ $< 39 - 40$ $156 (35.6)$ > 40 $118 (26.9)$ Small for gestational age Yes Yes $11 (2.5\%)$ No $427 (97 56)$	Voc	407 (02 0)
No $31 (7.1)$ Infants Sex Sex 231 (52.8) Female 207 (47.2) Delivery mode 287 (65.5) Caesarean 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) 3000 - 3999 < 3000 70 (16.0) $3000-3999$ 334 (76.3) ≥ 4000 34 (7.7) Gestational age at delivery (weeks) (4 (37.4)) < 39 164 (37.4) $39-40$ 156 (35.6) >40 118 (26.9) Small for gestational age 111 (2.5%) No 427 (97 5%)	No	407(92.9)
Infants Sex Male 231 (52.8) Female 207 (47.2) Delivery mode 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) 34 (76.3) \leq 3000 70 (16.0) 3000–3999 334 (76.3) \geq 4000 304 (77.7) Gestational age at delivery (weeks) 164 (37.4) 39–40 156 (35.6) >40 118 (26.9) Small for gestational age 11 (2.5%) No 427 (97 5%)	NO	51 (7.1)
Sex 231 (52.8) Male 231 (52.8) Female 207 (47.2) Delivery mode 207 (47.2) Caesarean 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) 70 (16.0) < 3000 70 (16.0) $3000-3999$ 334 (76.3) ≥ 4000 304 (7.7) Gestational age at delivery (weeks) 464 (37.4) $39-40$ 156 (35.6) > 40 118 (26.9) Small for gestational age 11 (2.5%) No 427 (97 5%)	Infants	
Male 231 (52.8) Female 207 (47.2) Delivery mode 287 (65.5) Caesarean 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) 70 (16.0) $< 3000 - 3999$ 334 (76.3) ≥ 4000 334 (76.3) ≥ 4000 34 (76.3) ≥ 4000 164 (37.4) 39-40 156 (35.6) >40 118 (26.9) Small for gestational age 11 (2.5%) No 427 (97 564)	Sex	
Female 207 (47.2) Delivery mode 287 (65.5) Caesarean 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) 70 (16.0) <3000 304 (76.3) ≥ 4000 334 (76.3) ≤ 39 164 (37.4) $39-40$ 156 (35.6) >40 118 (26.9) Small for gestational age 11 (2.5%) No 427 (97 56)	Male	231 (52.8)
Delivery mode 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) 70 (16.0) $<3000-3999$ 334 (76.3) ≥ 4000 34 (7.7) Gestational age at delivery (weeks) 44 (7.7) <39 164 (37.4) $39-40$ 156 (35.6) >40 118 (26.9) Small for gestational age 11 (2.5%) No 427 (97 564)	Female	207 (47.2)
Caesarean 287 (65.5) Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) $(3000 - 3$	Delivery mode	
Eutocia 151 (34.5) Birth weight (g) 3000 <3000 70 (16.0) $3000-3999$ 334 (76.3) ≥ 4000 34 (77.7) Gestational age at delivery (weeks) 39 <39 164 (37.4) $39-40$ 156 (35.6) >40 118 (26.9) Small for gestational age Yes Yes 11 (2.5%) No 427 (97 564)	Caesarean	287 (65.5)
Birth weight (g) 70 (16.0) <3000 399 ≥ 4000 34 (76.3) ≥ 4000 34 (7.7) Gestational age at delivery (weeks) <39 <39 164 (37.4) $39-40$ 156 (35.6) >40 118 (26.9) Small for gestational age Yes Yes 11 (2.5%) No 427 (97 564)	Eutocia	151 (34.5)
$\begin{array}{ccc} < 3000 & 70 & (16.0) \\ 3000-3999 & 334 & (7.3) \\ \geq 4000 & 34 & (7.7) \\ \hline \\ Gestational age at delivery (weeks) & \\ < 39 & 164 & (37.4) \\ 39-40 & 156 & (35.6) \\ >40 & 118 & (26.9) \\ \hline \\ Small for gestational age \\ Yes & 11 & (2.5\%) \\ N_0 & 427 & (97.5\%) \\ \end{array}$	Birth weight (g)	
$\begin{array}{ccc} 3000-3999 & 334(76.3) \\ \geq 4000 & 34(7.7) \\ \hline \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $	<3000	70 (16.0)
$ \ge 4000 \qquad \qquad 34 (7.7) \\ \mbox{Gestational age at delivery (weeks)} \\ <39 \qquad \qquad 164 (37.4) \\ 39-40 \qquad \qquad 156 (35.6) \\ >40 \qquad \qquad 118 (26.9) \\ \mbox{Small for gestational age} \\ \mbox{Yes} \qquad \qquad 11 (2.5\%) \\ \mbox{No} \qquad \qquad 427 (97 5\%) \\ \mbox{No} \qquad \qquad \qquad 427 (97 5\%) \\ \mbox{No} \qquad \qquad \qquad 427 (97 5\%) \\ \mbox{No} \qquad \qquad$	3000–3999	334 (76.3)
Gestational age at delivery (weeks) 164 (37.4) 39-40 156 (35.6) >40 118 (26.9) Small for gestational age 11 (2.5%) No 427 (97 5%)	>4000	34 (7.7)
 <39 164 (37.4) 39-40 156 (35.6) >40 118 (26.9) Small for gestational age Yes 11 (2.5%) No 427 (97 5%) 	Gestational age at delivery (weeks)	
39-40 156 (35.6) >40 118 (26.9) Small for gestational age 11 (2.5%) No 427 (97 5%)	<39	164 (37.4)
>40 118 (26.9) Small for gestational age Yes 11 (2.5%) No 427 (97 5%)	39–40	156 (35.6)
Small for gestational age 11 (2.5%) No 427 (97 5%)	>40	118 (26.9)
Yes 11 (2.5%) No 477 (97 5%)	Small for gestational age	
No 427 (97 5%)	Yes	11 (2.5%)
977 197.1901	No	427 (97.5%)

^a A total of 5 women were missing for BMI at enrollment, 1 for education level, 2 for income, 2 for second-hand smoke exposure.

infants, 52.8% were boys and 65.5% were delivered by caesarean section.

3.2. Distribution of DBP and OS biomarker concentrations

Maternal concentrations of DBP and OS biomarkers are shown in Table 2. Blood TCM and BDCM were detectable in >75% of the specimens, whereas the detection rates of blood DBCM and TBM were \leq 45.1% across pregnancy trimesters. Urinary TCAA, DCAA, 8-OHdG, HNE-MA, and 8-isoPGF_{2 α} were detected in >83% of urine samples. The median concentrations of blood Br-THMs and urinary TCAA, 8-OHdG, HNE-MA, and 8-isoPGF_{2 α} were highest in the first trimester (3.8 ng/L, 2.3 µg/g Cr, 9.6 µg/g Cr, 115.0 µg/g Cr, and 2.6 µg/g Cr, respectively), while the median blood concentrations of TTHMs and urinary DCAA were highest in the third trimester (16.4 ng/L and 8.9 µg/g Cr).

Table 2

Distribution of blood THMs, urinary HAAs, and urinary OS biomarkers in different stages of pregnancy (N = 438).^{a.}

Compounds	First trimester			Second trimester				Third trimester				
	N	%>LOD/LOQ	Median	IQR	N	%>LOD/LOQ	Median	IQR	N	%>LOD/LOQ	Median	IQR
THMs (ng/L)												
TCM	438	90.6	9.1	5.0-16.7	401	90.9	8.5	5.2 - 12.4	377	95.1	10.4	6.4–15
BDCM	438	75.6	0.79	0.51 - 1.2	401	77.0	0.80	0.52 - 1.1	377	78.6	0.81	0.54 - 1.1
DBCM	438	40.6	<lod< td=""><td><lod-1.0< td=""><td>401</td><td>43.4</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod-1.0< td=""><td>377</td><td>40.1</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod-1.0< td=""></lod-1.0<></td></lod<></td></lod-1.0<></td></lod<></td></lod-1.0<></td></lod<>	<lod-1.0< td=""><td>401</td><td>43.4</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod-1.0< td=""><td>377</td><td>40.1</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod-1.0< td=""></lod-1.0<></td></lod<></td></lod-1.0<></td></lod<></td></lod-1.0<>	401	43.4	<lod< td=""><td><lod-1.0< td=""><td>377</td><td>40.1</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod-1.0< td=""></lod-1.0<></td></lod<></td></lod-1.0<></td></lod<>	<lod-1.0< td=""><td>377</td><td>40.1</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod-1.0< td=""></lod-1.0<></td></lod<></td></lod-1.0<>	377	40.1	<lod< td=""><td><lod-1.0< td=""></lod-1.0<></td></lod<>	<lod-1.0< td=""></lod-1.0<>
TBM	438	45.1	<lod< td=""><td><lod-5.8< td=""><td>401</td><td>41.4</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod-5.9< td=""><td>377</td><td>44.0</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod-7.1< td=""></lod-7.1<></td></lod<></td></lod-5.9<></td></lod<></td></lod-5.8<></td></lod<>	<lod-5.8< td=""><td>401</td><td>41.4</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod-5.9< td=""><td>377</td><td>44.0</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod-7.1< td=""></lod-7.1<></td></lod<></td></lod-5.9<></td></lod<></td></lod-5.8<>	401	41.4	<lod< td=""><td><lod-5.9< td=""><td>377</td><td>44.0</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod-7.1< td=""></lod-7.1<></td></lod<></td></lod-5.9<></td></lod<>	<lod-5.9< td=""><td>377</td><td>44.0</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod-7.1< td=""></lod-7.1<></td></lod<></td></lod-5.9<>	377	44.0	<lod< td=""><td><lod-7.1< td=""></lod-7.1<></td></lod<>	<lod-7.1< td=""></lod-7.1<>
Br-THMs	438	-	3.8	2.8 - 7.7	401	-	3.4	2.7 - 7.8	377	-	3.6	2.7-8.6
TTHMs	438	-	15.5	9.6–25.0	401	-	13.9	9.2–21.2	377	-	16.4	11.1 - 25.4
HAAs (µg∕g Cr)												
DCAA	377	90.4	8.5	5.4-14.9	396	99.5	8.0	5.6-11.1	375	99.2	8.9	6.8-12.6
TCAA	377	83.9	2.3	1.0-4.9	396	97.1	1.9	1.3 - 3.1	375	95.3	2.1	1.5 - 3.0
OS (µg∕g Cr)												
8-OHdG	418	100	9.6	6.0 - 16.8	393	100	7.6	5.6-11.1	380	99.7	7.4	5.4-10.1
HNE-MA	418	98.4	115.0	26.7-339.6	393	98.7	31.0	13.3-113.4	380	99.0	105.8	38.6-290.3
$8\text{-isoPGF}_{2\alpha}$	418	94.4	2.6	1.5–4.7	393	97.5	2.0	1.2–3.1	380	93.0	2.5	1.2–4.1

Abbreviations: THMs, trihalomethanes; HAAs, haloacetic acids; OS, oxidative stress; LOD, the limit of detection; LOQ, the limit of quantification; IQR, interquartile range; TCM, chloroform; BDCM, bromodichloromethane; DBCM, dibromochloromethane; TBM, bromoform; Br-THMs, brominated THMs; TTHMs, total THMs; Cr, creatinine; DCAA, dichloroacetic acid; TCAA, trichloroacetic acid; 8-OHdG, 8-hydroxy-2-deoxyguanosine; HNE-MA, 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal-mercapturic acid; 8-iso-PGF_{2α}, 8-*iso*-prostaglandin F_{2α}.

^a The LODs of TCM, BDCM, DBCM, TBM, DCAA and TCAA are 1.95 ng/L, 0.45 ng/L, 0.68 ng/L, 2.00 ng/L, 0.5 μg/L, and 1.0 μg/L, respectively. The LOQs of 8-OHdG, 8-isoPGF_{2α}, and HNE-MA were 0.08 μg/L, 0.06 μg/L, and 0.03 μg/L, respectively.

Table 3

Distribution of neonatal NBNA scores (N = 438).

Items	The maximum attainable scores	Mean	Median	10th–90th	% of infants having The maximum attainable scores
Behavior	12	11.6	12	11–12	70.8
Primary reflexes	8	7.9	8	8–8	90.9
Active tone	8	7.2	7	6–8	39.3
Passive tone	6	5.8	6	5–6	78.5
General reaction	6	5.9	6	5–6	90.4
Total NBNA score	40	38.4	39	37–40	21.2

Abbreviations: NBNA, neonatal behavioral neurological assessment.

3.3. Neonatal NBNA scores

The NBNA scores of newborns on the third day after birth are shown in Table 3. The arithmetic means of behavior, primary reflexes, active tone, passive tone, general assessment, and total NBNA scores were 11.6, 7.9, 7.2, 5.8, 5.9, and 38.4, respectively.

3.4. Associations between maternal DBP concentrations and neonatal NBNA scores

Trimester-specific associations of maternal blood concentrations of THMs and urinary HAAs with neonatal NBNA scores are shown in Table 4. After adjusting for confounders, we found that higher blood BDCM concentrations in the first trimester were associated with lower neonatal NBNA scores (*p* for trend = 0.043); the percent change comparing the highest vs. lowest BDCM tertiles was -28.1% (95% CI: -55.2%, -0.88%). Besides, urinary TCAA concentrations in the third trimester were also inversely associated with neonatal NBNA scores (*p* for trend = 0.046); the percent change comparing the highest vs. lowest TCAA tertiles was -32.9% (95% CI: -64.7%, -1.0%). Type 3 tests indicated that the inverse associations of blood BDCM and urinary TCAA concentrations with NBNA scores differed across pregnancy trimesters

(Type 3-*p* values = 0.066 and 0.053, respectively). Stratified analysis showed that the inverse associations of blood BDCM and urinary TCAA concentrations with NBNA scores were stronger in male infants and pregnant women aged \geq 25 years (Fig. 2).

3.5. Associations between maternal OS biomarkers and neonatal NBNA scores and mediation analysis

There was no evidence of any dose–response relationships between concentrations of OS biomarkers across pregnancy trimesters and neonatal NBNA scores (all *p* for trends >0.05). However, we noted lower total NBNA scores among women with third-trimester urinary HNE-MA in the medium tertile, compared with women in the lowest tertile (-35.4%; 95% CI: -68.9%, -1.9%; Table 5). No evidence of mediation by OS biomarkers was observed in the associations between BDCM or TCAA concentrations and NBNA scores (**Table S2**). The associations between maternal DBP exposures, OS biomarkers, and NBNA scores were not materially changed when we additionally included the gestational week at delivery or time interval since last showering/bathing as a covariate in the regression models or when we excluded infants with low birth weight (i.e., <2500 g) or small-for-gestational-age (**Table S3–S6**).

4. Discussion

Results from this prospective investigation showed inverse associations of maternal concentrations of blood BDCM during the first trimester and urinary TCAA during the third trimester with neonatal NBNA scores. These associations were stronger in male infants and among women aged \geq 25 years. While maternal blood BDCM and urinary TCAA concentrations were associated with OS biomarkers (Liu et al., 2020), as well as neonatal NBNA scores in this same cohort (Chen et al., 2019), in this analysis we found no evidence of mediating effects by urinary 8-OHdG, 8-isoPGF_{2α}, or HNE-MA concentrations.

Toxicological studies have shown that BDCM and TCAA exposure can induce neurotoxicity in rodent species. For instance, Balster and colleagues reported altered operant behaviors after exposing adult male mice to 100 mg/kg/day of BDCM (120 mg/L in water) for 60 days (Balster and Borzelleca, 1982). Similarly, Moser and colleagues reported that oral exposure to 720 mg/L BDCM (72 mg/kg/day) in drinking water resulted in pathological changes of arousal and touch responses and sciatic nerve axonopathy in the midthigh region of F-344 rats (Moser

Table 4

Associations between tertiles of blood THM and urinary HAA concentrations and neonatal NBNA scores by pregnancy trimesters.^a

Compounds	First trimester		Second	trimester	Third to	rimester	Type 3p values
	N	Percent change (95% CI)	N	Percent change (95% CI)	N	Percent change (95% CI)	
THMs (ng/L)							
TCM							
T1 (<6.8)	151	0	147	0	107	0	0.316
T2 (6.8–12.3)	126	-3.4 (-32.3, 25.5)	153	9.9 (-17.5, 37.3)	127	16.2 (-15.0, 47.5)	
T3 (>12.3)	161	-10.3 (-37.4, 16.8)	101	3.1 (-27.5, 33.7)	143	25.7 (-4.5, 55.8)	
P for trend		0.457		0.783		0.097	
BDCM							
T1 (<0.62)	153	0	131	0	121	0	0.066
T2 (0.62–0.98)	128	-9.9 (-38.2, 18.5)	148	25.4 (-3.1, 54.0)	129	-5.3 (-35.3, 24.7)	
T3 (>0.98)	157	-28.1 (-55.2, -0.88)	122	25.3 (-4.4, 55.0)	127	13.7 (-16.5, 44.0)	
P for trend		0.043		0.091		0.369	
DBCM							
<60th (<0.74)	269	0	229	0	232	0	0.690
60th-80th (0.74-1.1)	85	5.0 (-24.5, 34.5)	90	8.6 (-21.0, 38.1)	68	-17.9 (-50.7, 15.0)	
>80th (>1.1)	84	-12.4 (-42.3, 17.6)	82	-17.2 (-47.6, 13.2)	77	-2.7 (-34.0, 28.6)	
P for trend		0.525		0.393		0.675	
TBM							
<60th (<2.8)	264	0	243	0	223	0	0.142
60th-80th (2.8-8.6)	89	-12.2 (-41.6, 17.1)	83	9.7 (-20.4, 39.7)	71	-16.2 (-49.0, 16.7)	
>80th (>8.6)	85	26.1 (-3.8, 56.0)	75	27.0 (-4.2, 58.3)	83	-11.8 (-42.4, 18.7)	
P for trend		0.187		0.091		0.361	
Br-THMs							
T1 (<2.9)	135	0	141	0	132	0	0.471
T2 (2.9–7.9)	163	0.56 (-27.2, 28.3)	128	-0.29 (-29.2, 28.7)	112	-10.9 (-41.44, 19.56)	
T3 (>7.9)	140	8.8 (-20.0, 37.6)	132	13.8 (-15.0, 42.6)	133	-17.27 (-46.7, 12.1)	
P for trend		0.547		0.352		0.249	
TTHMs							
T1 (<12.8)	147	0	150	0	107	0	0.591
T2 (12.8–23.8)	133	-7.5 (-36.0, 21.0)	140	3.8 (-24.3, 31.8)	134	27.3 (-3.6, 58.2)	
T3 (>23.8)	158	5.3 (-22.1, 32.7)	111	4.1 (-25.5, 33.7)	136	19.8 (-10.8, 50.4)	
<i>P</i> for trend		0.703		0.770		0.236	
HAAs (µg/g Cr)							
DCAA							
T1 (<6.8)	148	0	139	0	95	0	0.745
T2 (6.8–10.4)	89	11.2 (-20.5, 43.0)	149	-7.8 (-35.4, 19.8)	145	3.5 (-27.6, 34.7)	
T3 (>10.4)	140	0.34 (-27.6, 28.3)	108	-6.2 (-36.4, 24.0)	135	-12.8 (-44.5, 18.9)	
P for trend		0.978		0.605		0.339	
TCAA							
T1 (<1.7)	171	0	151	0	114	0	0.053
T2 (1.7–2.8)	101	-15.4 (-47.4, 16.7)	128	-15.0 (-43.2, 13.1)	154	-2.2 (-31.3, 27.0)	
T3 (>2.8)	159	-2.2 (-31.0, 26.5)	117	24.5 (-4.2, 53.3)	107	-32.9 (-64.7, -1.0)	
P for trend		0.953		0.135		0.046	

Abbreviations: NBNA, neonatal behavioral neurological assessment; CI, confidence interval; T, tertiles; THMs, trihalomethanes; HAAs, haloacetic acids; TCM, chloroform; BDCM, bromodichloromethane; DBCM, dibromochloromethane; TBM, bromoform; Br-THMs, brominated THMs; TTHMs, total THMs; Cr, creatinine; DCAA, dichloroacetic acid; TCAA, trichloroacetic acid.

^a The models were adjusted for maternal age, educational level, BMI at enrollment, smoking status, alcohol intake, folic acid usage during pregnancy, delivery mode, and infant sex.

et al., 2007). Notwithstanding, to date, no toxicological study has evaluated prenatal exposure to THMs at low doses in relation to neurobehavior. For HAAs, 2000 mg/L of TCAA in drinking water was found to cause reduced neurological enzyme activity in rats (Celik et al., 2010); pregnant rats exposed to 1200 mg/kg/day of TCAA were found to induce hydrocephalus, neuropil vacuolation, altered choroids plexus architecture, and enhanced neuronal cell apoptosis in their offspring (Singh, 2006). However, these exposed doses in animal studies were much higher than the concentrations in the tap water of this cohort population (BDCM, 1.7 µg/L; TCAA, 8.2 µg/L) (Wang et al., 2019b). More toxicological studies are needed to examine the neurological effects of DBPs at environmental levels. In human studies, Villanueva and colleagues found that total and brominated THM uptakes from ingestion, showering, and bathing during pregnancy were inversely associated with general cognitive scores among 1855 children aged 4-5 years (Villanueva et al., 2018).

This present study refines and extends our previous evidence showing an inverse association between first-trimester blood BDCM concentrations and neonatal NBNA scores (Chen et al., 2019). We identified that the inverse associations between BDCM and neonatal NBNA scores differed across pregnancy trimesters, providing new evidence of a potentially critical window of susceptibility. Besides, we found an inverse association between urinary TCAA concentrations in the third trimester and neonatal NBNA scores, which did not persist when urinary TCAA concentrations in the first and second trimesters were evaluated. The underlying mechanisms are largely unknown, and these associations do not seem to be mediated by the OS biomarkers investigated. Animal studies have shown that BDCM can lead to histological changes in the thyroid gland (Chu et al., 1982; NTP, 1987), which may negatively affect the differentiation, proliferation, and migration of neuron cells during early gestation (de Graaf-Peters and Hadders-Algra, 2006). TCAA, however, was found to induce inflammation response and enhance neuron cell apoptosis (Prochazka et al., 2019; Singh, 2006), which could be linked to an altered maturation of axon, synapse, and glial cell in the late stage of pregnancy (Rock and Patisaul, 2018). TCAA was also reported to alter the calcium-binding protein level in glial cells, which can further induce neurotoxicity in late pregnancy (Zungun et al., 2013). These findings suggest that BDCM and TCAA may affect thyroid gland or neuron cells differently throughout pregnancy. Future studies should validate these trimester-



Fig. 2. Associations of blood BDCM in the first trimester and urinary TCAA in the third trimester with neonatal NBNA scores stratified by infant sex (male vs. female) and maternal age (<25 vs. \geq 25 years). The models were adjusted for maternal age, educational level, BMI at enrollment, smoking status, alcohol intake, folic acid usage during pregnancy, and delivery mode.

 Table 5

 Associations between tertiles of urinary OS biomarker concentrations and neonatal NBNA scores by pregnancy trimesters.^a

OS biomarkers	First trimester		Second	trimester	Third tr	imester	Type 3p values
	N	Percent change (95% CI)	N	Percent change (95% CI)	N	Percent change (95% CI)	
8-OHdG							
T1 (<6.4)	113	0	137	0	147	0	0.401
T2 (6.4–10.4)	113	11.0 (-20.6, 42.6)	141	3.9 (-24.5, 32.2)	143	-16.7 (-44.6, 11.3)	
T3 (>10.4)	192	6.5 (-21.8, 34.8)	115	1.4 (-28.5, 31.2)	90	-22.7 (-54.7, 9.4)	
P for trend		0.717		0.830		0.116	
HNE-MA							
T1 (<32.3)	119	0	203	0	75	0	0.643
T2 (32.3–168.4)	124	-13.9 (-44.0, 16.2)	112	6.6 (-21.1, 34.2)	161	-35.4 (-68.9, -1.9)	
T3 (>168.4)	175	-9.8 (-38.0, 18.3)	78	-15.8 (-47.1, 15.5)	144	-21.3 (-55.5, 12.9)	
P for trend		0.541		0.460		0.409	
8-isoPGF _{2α}							
T1 (<1.7)	117	0	153	0	127	0	0.557
T2 (1.7–3.2)	127	13.0 (-17.2, 43.2)	146	-4.6 (-31.9, 22.8)	124	4.1 (-26.1, 34.3)	
T3 (>3.2)	174	12.2 (-16.1, 40.5)	94	-10.4 (-41.1, 20.4)	129	12.7 (-17.2, 42.6)	
P for trend		0.462		0.508		0.403	

Abbreviations: NBNA, neonatal behavioral neurological assessment; CI, confidence interval; T, tertiles; Cr, creatinine; 8-OHdG, 8-hydroxy-2-deoxyguanosine; HNE-MA, 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal-mercapturic acid; 8-isoPGF_{2a}, 8-iso-prostaglandin F_{2a}.

^a The models were adjusted for maternal age, educational level, BMI at enrollment, smoking status, alcohol intake, folic acid usage during pregnancy, delivery mode, and infant sex.

specific findings and investigate other potential modes of action.

Stratified analysis showed that the associations of BDCM and TCAA with neonatal NBNA scores were stronger in male neonates. In support of our findings, Villanueva and colleagues also observed a stronger association between maternal total and brominated THM intake and child cognition in boys (Villanueva et al., 2018). It is well-known that testosterone is elevated in male fetuses between gestational weeks 8 and 24, leading to a masculinization of specific brain areas (Hines et al., 2015). Some DBPs have been shown to affect reproductive hormone levels in both rabbits and humans (Veeramachaneni et al., 2007; Zeng et al., 2013). Although the mechanisms behind these effects are poorly understood, damage to Leydig cells in response to DBP exposure could lead to reduced fetal testosterone levels during early pregnancy, in turn influencing male neurobehavioral development (Lombardo et al., 2012; Wallen and Hassett, 2009). However, it should be noted that the toxicological literature for DBP-related neurological effects is scarce. We thus call for new studies, particularly focused on prenatal effects at low DBP doses, and additionally investigating molecular endpoints to identify biomarkers of DBP-related neurotoxicity. Interestingly, the associations of BDCM and TCAA with neonatal NBNA scores were also stronger in mothers aged \geq 25 years, which might be related to reduced

activities of certain DBP metabolic enzymes (e.g., cytochrome P450 and glutathione S-transferase) as age increases, consequently accelerating the adverse effect of DBP exposures.

Growing evidence indicates that OS plays an important role in the development of neurodevelopmental disorders and neurodegenerative diseases (Bjorklund et al., 2020; Kabir et al., 2020; Lin and Beal, 2006; Steullet et al., 2017). For instance, Bharadwaj and colleagues reported an inverse association between maternal total antioxidant status and poor neuro-motor outcomes at 1 year of age (Bharadwaj et al., 2018). Similarly, Rommel and colleagues reported an inverse association between urinary prostaglandin- $F_{2\alpha}$ (PGF_{2\alpha}) and 8-isoPGF_{2\alpha} in the third trimester with child behavioral outcomes at 4 years of age (Rommel et al., 2020). However, a lack of association between urinary 8-OHdG and malondialdehyde (MDA) and infants' neurodevelopmental performance was also reported (Al-Saleh et al., 2016). In the present study, we only found lower total NBNA scores among women with third trimester urinary HNE-MA in the medium tertile, compared to the women in the lowest tertile. Moreover, there was no evidence of mediating effect by OS. The discrepancies between studies could be largely related to the differences in OS markers, neurodevelopmental assessment scales, age at assessment, study design, and population characteristics. Besides OS

pathways, both *in vitro* and human studies also suggested that DBP exposures were associated with disrupted immune and inflammation function (Prochazka et al., 2019; Vlaanderen et al., 2017), which, in turn, play an important role in fetal brain development (Goeden et al., 2016; Suchdev et al., 2017; Tomlinson et al., 2019). Finally, DBP exposures were also reported to affect the synthesis of vitamin B12-dependent methionine and folate metabolism (Alston, 1991; Dow and Green, 2000), both of which are crucial to fetal neurodevelopment (Mitchell et al., 2014; Naninck et al., 2019).

The strengths of this study included its prospective study design, the repeated measurements of DBP exposures and OS biomarkers throughout pregnancy, and the use of blood THMs and urinary HAAs as exposure biomarkers. However, our study also had several limitations. First, blood THMs and urinary HAAs and OS biomarkers were measured at a single time point during each trimester. Given that blood THMs and urinary HAAs and OS biomarker concentrations varied greatly across pregnancy trimesters in this cohort (all interclass correlation coefficients <0.13) (Liu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2019b), and exposure misclassification cannot be fully ruled, some associations of moderate magnitude may have been attenuated toward the null. Besides, although our results were materially unchanged after adjusting for time interval since last showering/bathing in sensitivity analyses, we cannot rule out other recent water-use activities such as hand washing and dishwashing that can influence blood THM concentrations (Ashley et al., 2005; Ashley et al., 2020; Nuckols et al., 2005). Second, our results may be confounded by other unmeasured covariates, such as maternal intelligence quotient (IQ) (Meador et al., 2011), dietary quality (Borge et al., 2017), and co-exposure to other pollutants (e.g., phthalate, bisphenol A, and heavy metals) or other DBP species (e.g., haloacetonitriles and halobenzoquinones) that might be even more toxic (Ahmed et al., 2005; Braun et al., 2017a, 2017b; Fu et al., 2017; Hyland et al., 2019; Moser et al., 2007; Valeri et al., 2017). Third, although the NBNA test is a validated tool for behavior assessment, the majority of infants in our present study (n = 409, 93.3%) had a normal NBNA score ranging from 37 to 40, which hampers the estimation of risk for abnormal NBNA. A combination of other neurobehavior tests, such as Dubowitz neurologic examination and general movements assessment (Crowle et al., 2019; Romeo et al., 2012), would provide a more comprehensive assessment of the newborns' neurodevelopment. Moreover, the neonatal period may be limited to evaluate subtle behavioral effects that may only be evident during infancy or childhood. Since in utero exposures may cause "organizational" effects in the brain, future neurobehavioral assessments throughout childhood would be needed in this cohort to confirm the potential long-term effects of prenatal DBP exposure on the offspring (Braun et al., 2017a, 2017b; Mustieles and Fernandez, 2020). Fourth, there was no significant difference in most demographic characteristics between the overall study population (n = 1760) and participants included in our current analysis (n = 438), except for maternal age and education level, which were slightly lower in the current subsample. Although these two covariates were accounted for in all the regression models, the influence of potential selection bias cannot be fully ruled out either. Fifth, because of multiple comparisons, the possibility that some of our findings are due to chance cannot be fully excluded. However, we are reassured by the consistency in results in various sensitivity analyses. Our results are also consistent with prior toxicological studies showing that maternal exposure to DBPs can impair fetal neurodevelopment (Ahmed et al., 2005; Singh, 2006). Finally, a large proportion of our participants had a low socioeconomic status, which may limit the generalizability of our findings.

5. Conclusion

Based on the XGDBP cohort study, we found that higher concentrations of blood BDCM and urinary TCAA during specific pregnancy trimesters were associated with lower NBNA scores, suggesting that BDCM and TCAA may impair fetal neurodevelopment differently throughout pregnancy. Our results highlight and strengthen previous evidence showing an association between DBP exposure and infant neurodevelopmental outcomes. However, more research is warranted to confirm our novel findings and to explore the potential underlying mechanisms.

Funding

Our research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81903281 and 81673123), and the National and Key R&D Program of China (No. 2018YFC1004201).

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Chong Liu: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. **Carmen Messerlian:** Methodology, Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing. **Vicente Mustieles:** tion, Validation, Writing – review & editing. **Vicente Mustieles:** Methodology, Writing – review & editing. **Li-Li Huang:** Data curation, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. **Yang Sun:** Data curation, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. **Yang Sun:** Data curation, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. **Yang Sun:** Data curation, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. **Yan-Ling Deng:** Investigation, Writing – review & editing. **Ying-Hui Cheng:** Data curation, Resources, Investigation. **Jing Liu:** Resources, Investigation. **A-Mei Liu:** Resources, Investigation. **Wen-Qing Lu:** Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. **Yi-Xin Wang:** Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

We sincerely thank all the volunteers for providing urine and blood samples. We are also grateful to Doctor Wei-Ping Yuan, Meng Cheng, Jin-Feng Zhang, Xiao-Ying Liu, Ling-Hua Zhang, and Hui Zhang from Xiaonan Maternal and Child Care Service Center for their assistance in participant recruitment and follow-up.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2021.106838.

References

- Ahmed, A.E., Campbell, G.A., Jacob, S., 2005. Neurological impairment in fetal mouse brain by drinking water disinfectant byproducts. Neurotoxicology. 26 (4), 633–640. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuro.2004.11.001.
- Al-Saleh, I., Elkhatib, R., Al-Rouqi, R., Abduljabbar, M., Eltabache, C., Al-Rajudi, T., Nester, M., 2016. Alterations in biochemical markers due to mercury (Hg) exposure and its influence on infant's neurodevelopment. Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health 219 (8), 898–914. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2016.07.002.
- Alston, T.A., 1991. Inhibition of vitamin B12-dependent microbial growth by nitrous oxide. Life Sci. 48 (16), 1591–1595. https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3205(91)90284-
- Ashley, D.L., Blount, B.C., Singer, P.C., Depaz, E., Wilkes, C., Gordon, S., Lyu, C., Masters, J., 2005. Changes in blood trihalomethane concentrations resulting from differences in water quality and water use activities. Arch. Environ. Occup. Health 60 (1), 7–15. https://doi.org/10.3200/AEOH.60.1.7-15.
- Ashley, D.L., Smith, M.M., Silva, L.K., Yoo, Y.M., De Jesus, V.R., Blount, B.C., 2020. Factors Associated with Exposure to Trihalomethanes, NHANES 2001–2012. Environ. Sci. Technol. 54 (2), 1066–1074. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b05745.
- Assies, J., Mocking, R.J., Lok, A., Ruhe, H.G., Pouwer, F., Schene, A.H., 2014. Effects of oxidative stress on fatty acid- and one-carbon-metabolism in psychiatric and

C. Liu et al.

cardiovascular disease comorbidity. Acta Psychiatr. Scand. 130 (3), 163–180. https://doi.org/10.1111/acps.12265.

- Balster, R.L., Borzelleca, J.F., 1982. Behavioral toxicity of trihalomethane contaminants of drinking water in mice. Environ. Health Perspect. 46, 127–136. https://doi.org/ 10.1289/ehp.8246127.
- Bao, X.L., Yu, R.J., Li, Z.S., 1993. 20-item neonatal behavioral neurological assessment used in predicting prognosis of asphyxiated newborn. Chin. Med. J. (Engl.) 106 (3), 211–215.
- Bao, X.L., Yu, R.J., Li, Z.S., Zhang, B.L., 1991. Twenty-item behavioral neurological assessment for normal newborns in 12 cities of China. Chin. Med. J. (Engl.) 104 (9), 742–746.
- Beddowes, E.J., Faux, S.P., Chipman, J.K., 2003. Chloroform, carbon tetrachloride and glutathione depletion induce secondary genotoxicity in liver cells via oxidative stress. Toxicology 187 (2–3), 101–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0300-483x(03) 00058-1.
- Bellanger, M., Demeneix, B., Grandjean, P., Zoeller, R.T., Trasande, L., 2015. Neurobehavioral deficits, diseases, and associated costs of exposure to endocrinedisrupting chemicals in the European Union. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 100 (4), 1256–1266. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2014-4323.
- Bennett, D., Bellinger, D.C., Birnbaum, L.S., Bradman, A., Chen, A., Cory-Slechta, D.A., Engel, S.M., Fallin, M.D., Halladay, A., Hauser, R., Hertz-Picciotto, I., Kwiatkowski, C.F., Lanphear, B.P., Marquez, E., Marty, M., Mcpartland, J., Newschaffer, C.J., Payne-Sturges, D., Patisaul, H.B., Perera, F.P., Ritz, B., Sass, J., Schantz, S.L., Webster, T.F., Whyatt, R.M., Woodruff, T.J., Zoeller, R.T., Anderko, L., Campbell, C., Conry, J.A., Denicola, N., Gould, R.M., Hirtz, D., Huffling, K., Landrigan, P.J., Lavin, A., Miller, M., Mitchell, M.A., Rubin, L., Schettler, T., Tran, H. L., Acosta, A., Brody, C., Miller, E., Miller, P., Swanson, M., Witherspoon, N.O., 2016. Project TENDR: Targeting Environmental Neuro-Developmental Risks: The TENDR Consensus Statement. Environ. Health Perspect. 124 (7), A118–A122. https://doi. org/10.1289/EHP358.
- Bharadwaj, S.K., Vishnu, B.B., Vickneswaran, V., Adhisivam, B., Bobby, Z., Habeebullah, S., 2018. Oxidative Stress, Antioxidant Status and Neurodevelopmental Outcome in Neonates Born to Pre-eclamptic Mothers. Indian J. Pediatr. 85 (5), 351–357. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12098-017-2560-5.
- Bjorklund, G., Tinkov, A.A., Hosnedlova, B., Kizek, R., Ajsuvakova, O.P., Chirumbolo, S., Skalnaya, M.G., Peana, M., Dadar, M., El-Ansary, A., Qasem, H., Adams, J.B., Aaseth, J., Skalny, A.V., 2020. The role of glutathione redox imbalance in autism spectrum disorder: A review. Free Radic Biol Med. 160, 149–162. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2020.07.017.
- Bonin, M.A., Silva, L.K., Smith, M.M., Ashley, D.L., Blount, B.C., 2005. Measurement of trihalomethanes and methyl tert-butyl ether in whole blood using gas chromatography with high-resolution mass spectrometry. J. Anal. Toxicol. 29 (2), 81–89. https://doi.org/10.1093/jat/29.2.81.
- Borge, T.C., Aase, H., Brantsaeter, A.L., Biele, G., 2017. The importance of maternal diet quality during pregnancy on cognitive and behavioural outcomes in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 7 (9), e16777 https://doi.org/ 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-016777.
- Bove, F., Shim, Y., Zeitz, P., 2002. Drinking water contaminants and adverse pregnancy outcomes: a review. Environ. Health Perspect. 110 (Suppl (1)), 61–74. https://doi. org/10.1289/ehp.02110s161.
- Braun, J.M., Muckle, G., Arbuckle, T., Bouchard, M.F., Fraser, W.D., Ouellet, E., Seguin, J.R., Oulhote, Y., Webster, G.M., Lanphear, B.P., 2017a. Associations of Prenatal Urinary Bisphenol A Concentrations with Child Behaviors and Cognitive Abilities. Environ. Health Perspect. 125 (6), 67008. https://doi.org/10.1289/ EHP984.
- Braun, J.M., Yolton, K., Stacy, S.L., Erar, B., Papandonatos, G.D., Bellinger, D.C., Lanphear, B.P., Chen, A., 2017b. Prenatal environmental chemical exposures and longitudinal patterns of child neurobehavior. Neurotoxicology. 62, 192–199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuro.2017.07.027.
- Cao, W.C., Zeng, Q., Luo, Y., Chen, H.X., Miao, D.Y., Li, L., Cheng, Y.H., Li, M., Wang, F., You, L., Wang, Y.X., Yang, P., Lu, W.Q., 2016. Blood Biomarkers of Late Pregnancy Exposure to Trihalomethanes in Drinking Water and Fetal Growth Measures and Gestational Age in a Chinese Cohort. Environ. Health Perspect. 124 (4), 536–541. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1409234.
- Celik, I., Isik, I., Kaya, M.S., 2010. Evaluation of neurotoxic and immunotoxic effects of trichloroacetic acid on rats. Toxicol. Ind. Health 26 (10), 725–731. https://doi.org/ 10.1177/0748233710377778.
- Chen, C., and Jin, H., 2011. Neonatal classification. In: Shao, X.M., Ye, H.M., Qiu, X.S., (Eds.). Practice of Neonatology. People's Medical Publishing House, Beijing, China, pp. 46–47 [in Chinese].
- Chen, Y.J., Liu, C., Huang, L.L., Ai, S.H., Sun, L., Huang, Z., Li, J., Lei, H.S., Liu, J., Liu, Y. A., Wang, X., Liu, X.Y., Cheng, Y.H., Wang, Y.X., Pan, A., Lu, W.Q., 2019. Firsttrimester blood concentrations of drinking water trihalomethanes and neonatal neurobehavioral development in a Chinese birth cohort. J. Hazard. Mater. 362, 451–457. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.09.040.
- Cheong, J.L., Doyle, L.W., Burnett, A.C., Lee, K.J., Walsh, J.M., Potter, C.R., Treyvaud, K., Thompson, D.K., Olsen, J.E., Anderson, P.J., Spittle, A.J., 2017. Association Between Moderate and Late Preterm Birth and Neurodevelopment and Social-Emotional Development at Age 2 Years. JAMA Pediatr. 171 (4), e164805 https://doi.org/ 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2016.4805.
- Chowdhury, S., Champagne, P., James, M.P., 2010. Investigating effects of bromide ions on trihalomethanes and developing model for predicting bromodichloromethane in drinking water. Water Res. 44 (7), 2349–2359. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. watres.2009.12.042.
- Christian, M.S., York, R.G., Hoberman, A.M., Diener, R.M., Fisher, L.C., Gates, G.A., 2001. Biodisposition of dibromoacetic acid (DBA) and bromodichloromethane

(BDCM) administered to rats and rabbits in drinking water during range-finding reproduction and developmental toxicity studies. Int. J. Toxicol. 20 (4), 239–253. https://doi.org/10.1080/109158101750408064.

- Chu, I., Villeneuve, D.C., Secours, V.E., Becking, G.C., Valli, V.E., 1982. Trihalomethanes: II. Reversibility of toxicological changes produced by chloroform, bromodichloromethane, chlorodibromomethane and bromoform in rats. J. Environ.
- Sci. Health B 17 (3), 225–240. https://doi.org/10.1080/03601238209372315.
 Crowle, C., Loughran, F.A., Novak, I., Badawi, N., 2019. Use of the General Movements
 Assessment for the Early Detection of Cerebral Palsy in Infants with Congenital
 Anomalies Requiring Surgery. J Clin Med. 8 (9), 1286. https://doi.org/10.3390/
 icm8091286.
- Danielsson, B.R., Ghantous, H., Dencker, L., 1986. Distribution of chloroform and methyl chloroform and their metabolites in pregnant mice. Biol Res Pregnancy Perinatol. 7 (2), 77–83.
- de Graaf-Peters, V.B., Hadders-Algra, M., 2006. Ontogeny of the human central nervous system: what is happening when? Early Hum. Dev. 82 (4), 257–266. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2005.10.013.
- Dow, J.L., Green, T., 2000. Trichloroethylene induced vitamin B(12) and folate deficiency leads to increased formic acid excretion in the rat. Toxicology 146 (2–3), 123–136. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0300-483x(00)00156-6.
- Dustin, T., Teppei, Y., Kentaro, H., Luke, K., Kosuke, I., 2014. Mediation: R Package for Causal Mediation Analysis. J. Stat. Softw. 59 (1).
- Fu, K.Z., Li, J., Vemula, S., Moe, B., Li, X.F., 2017. Effects of halobenzoquinone and haloacetic acid water disinfection byproducts on human neural stem cells. J Environ Sci (China). 58, 239–249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2017.02.006.
- Global Research on Developmental Disabilities Collaborators, 2018. Developmental disabilities among children younger than 5 years in 195 countries and territories, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet Glob Health. 6 (10), e1100–e1121. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18) 30309-7.
- Goeden, N., Velasquez, J., Arnold, K.A., Chan, Y., Lund, B.T., Anderson, G.M., Bonnin, A., 2016. Maternal Inflammation Disrupts Fetal Neurodevelopment via Increased Placental Output of Serotonin to the Fetal Brain. J. Neurosci. 36 (22), 6041–6049. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2534-15.2016.
- Grandjean, P., Landrigan, P.J., 2014. Neurobehavioural effects of developmental toxicity. Lancet Neurol. 13 (3), 330–338. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(13) 70278-3.
- Greenland, S., 1989. Modeling and variable selection in epidemiologic analysis. Am. J. Public Health 79 (3), 340–349. https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.79.3.340.
- Greenland, S., Senn, S.J., Rothman, K.J., Carlin, J.B., Poole, C., Goodman, S.N., Altman, D.G., 2016. Statistical tests, P values, confidence intervals, and power: a guide to misinterpretations. Eur. J. Epidemiol. 31 (4), 337–350. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10654-016-0149-3.
- Guariglia, S.R., Jenkins, E.J., Chadman, K.K., Wen, G.Y., 2011. Chlorination byproducts induce gender specific autistic-like behaviors in CD-1 mice. Neurotoxicology. 32 (5), 545–553. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuro.2011.06.008.
- Guo, J., Wu, C., Zhang, J., Qi, X., Lv, S., Jiang, S., Zhou, T., Lu, D., Feng, C., Chang, X., Zhang, Y., Cao, Y., Wang, G., Zhou, Z., 2020. Prenatal exposure to mixture of heavy metals, pesticides and phenols and IQ in children at 7 years of age: The SMBCS study. Environ. Int. 139, 105692 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.105692.
- Hassoun, E., Cearfoss, J., 2014. Do Antioxidant Enzymes and Glutathione Play Roles in the Induction of Hepatic Oxidative Stress in Mice upon Subchronic Exposure to Mixtures of Dichloroacetate and Trichloroacetate? Toxicol. Environ. Chem. 96 (3), 482–490. https://doi.org/10.1080/02772248.2014.947988.
- Hassoun, E.A., Cearfoss, J., Spildener, J., 2010. Dichloroacetate- and trichloroacetateinduced oxidative stress in the hepatic tissues of mice after long-term exposure. J. Appl. Toxicol. 30 (5), 450–456. https://doi.org/10.1002/jat.1516.
- Hines, M., Constantinescu, M., Spencer, D., 2015. Early androgen exposure and human gender development. Biol Sex Differ. 6, 3. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13293-015-0022-1.
- Hornung, R.W., Reed, L.D., 1990. Estimation of Average Concentration in the Presence of Nondetectable Values. Appl. Occup. Environ. Hyg. 5 (1), 46–51. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/1047322X.1990.10389587.
- Hyland, C., Mora, A.M., Kogut, K., Calafat, A.M., Harley, K., Deardorff, J., Holland, N., Eskenazi, B., Sagiv, S.K., 2019. Prenatal Exposure to Phthalates and Neurodevelopment in the CHAMACOS Cohort. Environ. Health Perspect. 127 (10), 107010 https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP5165.
- Kabir, M.T., Uddin, M.S., Zaman, S., Begum, Y., Ashraf, G.M., Bin-Jumah, M.N., Bungau, S.G., Mousa, S.A., Abdel-Daim, M.M., 2020. Molecular Mechanisms of Metal Toxicity in the Pathogenesis of Alzheimer's Disease. Mol. Neurobiol. 58 (1), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-020-02096-w.
- Kaufman, J.S., Maclehose, R.F., 2013. Which of these things is not like the others? Cancer-Am. Cancer Soc. 119 (24), 4216–4222. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.28359.
- Klotz, J.B., Pyrch, L.A., 1999. Neural tube defects and drinking water disinfection byproducts. Epidemiology. 10 (4), 383–390. https://doi.org/10.1097/00001648-199907000-00005.
- Korevaar, T.I., Muetzel, R., Medici, M., Chaker, L., Jaddoe, V.W., de Rijke, Y.B., Steegers, E.A., Visser, T.J., White, T., Tiemeier, H., Peeters, R.P., 2016. Association of maternal thyroid function during early pregnancy with offspring IQ and brain morphology in childhood: a population-based prospective cohort study. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 4 (1), 35–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(15)00327-
- Lin, M.T., Beal, M.F., 2006. Mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative stress in neurodegenerative diseases. Nature 443 (7113), 787–795. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature05292.

Liu, C., Wang, Y.X., Chen, Y.J., Sun, Y., Huang, L.L., Cheng, Y.H., Liu, E.N., Lu, W.Q., Messerlian, C., 2020. Blood and urinary biomarkers of prenatal exposure to disinfection byproducts and oxidative stress: A repeated measurement analysis. Environ. Int. 137, 105518 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.105518.

Lombardo, M.V., Ashwin, E., Auyeung, B., Chakrabarti, B., Taylor, K., Hackett, G., Bullmore, E.T., Baron-Cohen, S., 2012. Fetal testosterone influences sexually dimorphic gray matter in the human brain. J. Neurosci. 32 (2), 674–680. https://doi. org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4389-11.2012.

Lyons-Ruth, K., Todd, M.J., Von Klitzing, K., Tamminen, T., Emde, R., Fitzgerald, H., Paul, C., Keren, M., Berg, A., Foley, M., Watanabe, H., 2017. THE WORLDWIDE BURDEN OF INFANT MENTAL AND EMOTIONAL DISORDER: REPORT OF THE TASK FORCE OF THE WORLD ASSOCIATION FOR INFANT MENTAL HEALTH. Infant Ment Health J. 38 (6), 695–705. https://doi.org/10.1002/imhj.21674.

Mancini, A., Di Segni, C., Raimondo, S., Olivieri, G., Silvestrini, A., Meucci, E., Curro, D., 2016. Thyroid Hormones, Oxidative Stress, and Inflammation. Mediators Inflamm. 2016, 6757154. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/6757154.

Meador, K.J., Baker, G.A., Browning, N., Clayton-Smith, J., Cohen, M.J., Kalayjian, L.A., Kanner, A., Liporace, J.D., Pennell, P.B., Privitera, M., Loring, D.W., 2011. Relationship of child IQ to parental IQ and education in children with fetal antiepileptic drug exposure. Epilepsy Behav. 21 (2), 147–152. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.yebeh.2011.03.020.

Mitchell, E.S., Conus, N., Kaput, J., 2014. B vitamin polymorphisms and behavior: evidence of associations with neurodevelopment, depression, schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and cognitive decline. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 47, 307–320. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.08.006.

Moreno-Gimenez, A., Campos-Berga, L., Nowak, A., Sahuquillo-Leal, R., D'Ocon, A., Hervas, D., Navalon, P., Vento, M., Garcia-Blanco, A., 2021. Impact of maternal age on infants' emotional regulation and psychomotor development. Psychol. Med. 1–12 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291721000568.

Moser, V.C., Phillips, P.M., Levine, A.B., Mcdaniel, K.L., Sills, R.C., Jortner, B.S., Butt, M. T., 2004. Neurotoxicity produced by dibromoacetic acid in drinking water of rats. Toxicol. Sci. 79 (1), 112–122. https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfh081.

Moser, V.C., Phillips, P.M., Mcdaniel, K.L., Macphail, R.C., 1999. Behavioral evaluation of the neurotoxicity produced by dichloroacetic acid in rats. Neurotoxicol. Teratol. 21 (6), 719–731. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0892-0362(99)00029-x.

Moser, V.C., Phillips, P.M., Mcdaniel, K.L., Sills, R.C., 2007. Neurotoxicological evaluation of two disinfection by-products, bromodichloromethane and dibromoacetonitrile, in rats. Toxicology 230 (2–3), 137–144. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.tox.2006.11.007.

Mustieles, V., Fernandez, M.F., 2020. Bisphenol A shapes children's brain and behavior: towards an integrated neurotoxicity assessment including human data. Environ Health. 19 (1), 66. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-020-00620-y.

Naninck, E., Stijger, P.C., Brouwer-Brolsma, E.M., 2019. The Importance of Maternal Folate Status for Brain Development and Function of Offspring. Adv. Nutr. 10 (3), 502–519. https://doi.org/10.1093/advances/nmy120.

Nie, J., Li, J., Cheng, L., Deng, Y., Li, Y., Yan, Z., Duan, L., Niu, Q., Tang, D., 2019. Prenatal polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons metabolites, cord blood telomere length, and neonatal neurobehavioral development. Environ. Res. 174, 105–113. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2019.04.024.

NTP, 1987. NTP Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Bromodichloromethane (CAS No. 75–27-4) in F344/N Rats and B6C3F1 Mice (Gavage Studies). Natl Toxicol Program Tech Rep Ser. 321, 1–182.

Nuckols, J.R., Ashley, D.L., Lyu, C., Gordon, S.M., Hinckley, A.F., Singer, P., 2005. Influence of tap water quality and household water use activities on indoor air and internal dose levels of trihalomethanes. Environ. Health Perspect. 113 (7), 863–870. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.7141.

Prochazka, E., Melvin, S.D., Escher, B.I., Plewa, M.J., Leusch, F., 2019. Global Transcriptional Analysis of Nontransformed Human Intestinal Epithelial Cells (FHs 74 Int) after Exposure to Selected Drinking Water Disinfection By-Products. Environ. Health Perspect. 127 (11), 117006 https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP4945.

Richardson, S.D., Plewa, M.J., Wagner, E.D., Schoeny, R., Demarini, D.M., 2007. Occurrence, genotoxicity, and carcinogenicity of regulated and emerging disinfection by-products in drinking water: a review and roadmap for research. Mutat. Res. 636 (1–3), 178–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2007.09.001.

Rock, K.D., Patisaul, H.B., 2018. Environmental Mechanisms of Neurodevelopmental Toxicity. Curr Environ Health Rep. 5 (1), 145–157. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s40572-018-0185-0.

Romeo, D.M., Ricci, D., van Haastert, I.C., de Vries, L.S., Haataja, L., Brogna, C., Gallini, F., Romagnoli, C., Cowan, F.M., Mercuri, E., 2012. Neurologic assessment tool for screening preterm infants at term age. J. Pediatr. 161 (6), 1166–1168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.07.037.

Rommel, A.S., Milne, G.L., Barrett, E.S., Bush, N.R., Nguyen, R., Sathyanarayana, S., Swan, S.H., Ferguson, K.K., 2020. Associations between urinary biomarkers of oxidative stress in the third trimester of pregnancy and behavioral outcomes in the child at 4 years of age. Brain Behav. Immun. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. bbi.2020.08.029.

Sanchez, B.N., Hu, H., Litman, H.J., Tellez-Rojo, M.M., 2011. Statistical methods to study timing of vulnerability with sparsely sampled data on environmental toxicants. Environ. Health Perspect. 119 (3), 409–415. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1002453.

Shah-Kulkarni, S., Lee, S., Jeong, K.S., Hong, Y.C., Park, H., Ha, M., Kim, Y., Ha, E.H., 2020. Prenatal exposure to mixtures of heavy metals and neurodevelopment in infants at 6 months. Environ. Res. 182, 109122 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envres.2020.109122. Singh, R., 2006. Neuroembryopathic effect of trichloroacetic acid in rats exposed during organogenesis. Birth Defects Res B Dev Reprod Toxicol. 77 (1), 47–52. https://doi. org/10.1002/bdrb.20064.

Steullet, P., Cabungcal, J.H., Coyle, J., Didriksen, M., Gill, K., Grace, A.A., Hensch, T.K., Lamantia, A.S., Lindemann, L., Maynard, T.M., Meyer, U., Morishita, H., O'Donnell, P., Puhl, M., Cuenod, M., Do, K.Q., 2017. Oxidative stress-driven parvalbumin interneuron impairment as a common mechanism in models of schizophrenia. Mol. Psychiatry 22 (7), 936–943. https://doi.org/10.1038/ mp.2017.47.

Suchdev, P.S., Boivin, M.J., Forsyth, B.W., Georgieff, M.K., Guerrant, R.L., Nelson, C.R., 2017. Assessment of Neurodevelopment, Nutrition, and Inflammation From Fetal Life to Adolescence in Low-Resource Settings. Pediatrics 139 (Suppl 1), S23–S37. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2016-2828E.

Sun, Y., Wang, Y.X., Liu, C., Chen, Y.J., Lu, W.Q., Messerlian, C., 2020. Trimester-Specific Blood Trihalomethane and Urinary Haloacetic Acid Concentrations and Adverse Birth Outcomes: Identifying Windows of Vulnerability during Pregnancy. Environ. Health Perspect. 128 (10), 107001 https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP7195.

Tomlinson, M.S., Lu, K., Stewart, J.R., Marsit, C.J., O'Shea, T.M., Fry, R.C., 2019. Microorganisms in the Placenta: Links to Early-Life Inflammation and Neurodevelopment in Children. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 32 (3), e00103–e00118. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00103-18.

Valeri, L., Mazumdar, M.M., Bobb, J.F., Claus, H.B., Rodrigues, E., Sharif, O., Kile, M.L., Quamruzzaman, Q., Afroz, S., Golam, M., Amarasiriwardena, C., Bellinger, D.C., Christiani, D.C., Coull, B.A., Wright, R.O., 2017. The Joint Effect of Prenatal Exposure to Metal Mixtures on Neurodevelopmental Outcomes at 20–40 Months of Age: Evidence from Rural Bangladesh. Environ. Health Perspect. 125 (6), 67015. https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP614.

Valeri, L., Vanderweele, T.J., 2013. Mediation analysis allowing for exposure-mediator interactions and causal interpretation: theoretical assumptions and implementation with SAS and SPSS macros. Psychol. Methods 18 (2), 137–150. https://doi.org/ 10.1037/a0031034.

van den Dries, M.A., Guxens, M., Spaan, S., Ferguson, K.K., Philips, E., Santos, S., Jaddoe, V., Ghassabian, A., Trasande, L., Tiemeier, H., Pronk, A., 2020. Phthalate and Bisphenol Exposure during Pregnancy and Offspring Nonverbal IQ. Environ. Health Perspect. 128 (7), 77009. https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP6047.

Veeramachaneni, D.N., Palmer, J.S., Klinefelter, G.R., 2007. Chronic exposure to low levels of dibromoacetic acid, a water disinfection by-product, adversely affects reproductive function in male rabbits. J. Androl. 28 (4), 565–577. https://doi.org/ 10.2164/jandrol.107.002550.

Villanueva, C.M., Gracia-Lavedan, E., Julvez, J., Santa-Marina, L., Lertxundi, N., Ibarluzea, J., Llop, S., Ballester, F., Fernandez-Somoano, A., Tardon, A., Vrijheid, M., Guxens, M., Sunyer, J., 2018. Drinking water disinfection by-products during pregnancy and child neuropsychological development in the INMA Spanish cohort study. Environ. Int. 110, 113–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2017.10.017.

Vlaanderen, J., van Veldhoven, K., Font-Ribera, L., Villanueva, C.M., Chadeau-Hyam, M., Portengen, L., Grimalt, J.O., Zwiener, C., Heederik, D., Zhang, X., Vineis, P., Kogevinas, M., Vermeulen, R., 2017. Acute changes in serum immune markers due to swimming in a chlorinated pool. Environ. Int. 105, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envirt.2017.04.009.

Wallen, K., Hassett, J.M., 2009. Sexual differentiation of behaviour in monkeys: role of prenatal hormones. J. Neuroendocrinol. 21 (4), 421–426. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1365-2826.2009.01832.x.

Wang, B., Liu, J., Liu, B., Liu, X., Yu, X., 2018. Prenatal exposure to arsenic and neurobehavioral development of newborns in China. Environ. Int. 121 (Pt 1), 421–427. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.09.031.

Wang, J., Wu, W., Li, H., Cao, L., Wu, M., Liu, J., Gao, Z., Zhou, C., Liu, J., Yan, C., 2019a. Relation of prenatal low-level mercury exposure with early child neurobehavioral development and exploration of the effects of sex and DHA on it. Environ. Int. 126, 14–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.02.012.

Wang, Y.X., Liu, C., Chen, Y.J., Duan, P., Wang, Q., Chen, C., Sun, Y., Huang, L.L., Wang, L., Chen, C., Li, J., Ai, S.H., Huang, Z., Sun, L., Wan, Z.Z., Pan, A., Meng, T.Q., Lu, W.Q., 2019b. Profiles, variability and predictors of concentrations of blood trihalomethanes and urinary haloacetic acids along pregnancy among 1760 Chinese women. Environ. Res. 172, 665–674. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envres.2019.03.017.

Wang, Y.X., Liu, C., Shen, Y., Wang, Q., Pan, A., Yang, P., Chen, Y.J., Deng, Y.L., Lu, Q., Cheng, L.M., Miao, X.P., Xu, S.Q., Lu, W.Q., Zeng, Q., 2019c. Urinary levels of bisphenol A, F and S and markers of oxidative stress among healthy adult men: Variability and association analysis. Environ. Int. 123, 301–309. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.envint.2018.11.071.

Wang, Y.X., Zeng, Q., Wang, L., Huang, Y.H., Lu, Z.W., Wang, P., He, M.J., Huang, X., Lu, W.Q., 2014. Temporal variability in urinary levels of drinking water disinfection byproducts dichloroacetic acid and trichloroacetic acid among men. Environ. Res. 135, 126–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2014.05.035.

Yu, X.D., Zhang, J., Yan, C.H., Shen, X.M., 2014. Prenatal exposure to manganese at environment relevant level and neonatal neurobehavioral development. Environ. Res. 133, 232–238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2014.04.012.

Zeng, Q., Li, M., Xie, S.H., Gu, L.J., Yue, J., Cao, W.C., Zheng, D., Liu, A.L., Li, Y.F., Lu, W. Q., 2013. Baseline blood trihalomethanes, semen parameters and serum total testosterone: a cross-sectional study in China. Environ. Int. 54, 134–140. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.envint.2013.01.016.

Zungun, C., Yilmaz, F.M., Tutkun, E., Yilmaz, H., Uysal, S., 2013. Assessment of serum S100B and neuron specific enolase levels to evaluate the neurotoxic effects of organic solvent exposure. Clin. Toxicol. (Phila.). 51 (8), 748–751. https://doi.org/ 10.3109/15563650.2013.820831.